

**Inspection Report under
the Long-Term Care
Homes Act, 2007****Rapport d'inspection en vertu de
la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de
soins de longue durée****Long-Term Care Operations Division
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch****Division des opérations relatives aux
soins de longue durée
Inspection de soins de longue durée**Central East Service Area Office
33 King Street West, 4th Floor
OSHAWA ON L1H 1A1
Telephone: (905) 440-4190
Facsimile: (905) 440-4111Bureau régional de services de
Centre-Est
33, rue King Ouest, étage 4
OSHAWA ON L1H 1A1
Téléphone: (905) 440-4190
Télécopieur: (905) 440-4111**Public Copy/Copie du rapport public**

Report Date(s) / Date(s) du Rapport	Inspection No / No de l'inspection	Log # / No de registre	Type of Inspection / Genre d'inspection
Feb 4, 2021	2021_598570_0001	019358-20, 023817-20	Complaint

Licensee/Titulaire de permisCVH (No. 6) LP by its general partners, Southbridge Health Care GP Inc. and
Southbridge Care Homes (a limited partnership, by its general partner, Southbridge Care
Homes Inc.)

766 Hespeler Road, Suite 301 c/o Southbridge Care Homes Cambridge ON N3H 5L8

Long-Term Care Home/Foyer de soins de longue duréePort Perry Place
15941 Simcoe Street Port Perry ON L9L 1N5**Name of Inspector(s)/Nom de l'inspecteur ou des inspecteurs**

SAMI JAROUR (570)

Inspection Summary/Résumé de l'inspection

The purpose of this inspection was to conduct a Complaint inspection.

This inspection was conducted on the following date(s): January 4, 5, 6 and 7, 2021.

The following intakes were inspected during this Complaint inspection:

- A follow up to CO #001 issued on September 14, 2020, within inspection report #2020_598570_0004, related to LTCHA, 2007 S.O. 2007, c.8, s. 6. (7).**
- A complaint, related to care concerns.**

During the course of the inspection, the inspector(s) spoke with the Executive Director (ED), the Acting Director of Care (ADOC), consultants from corporate office, Office Manager, Nursing Clark, RAI MDS coordinator, Registered Practical Nurses (RPN), and Personal Support Workers (PSW).

During the course of the inspection, the inspector toured residents' home areas, conducted observations, and reviewed clinical records.

The following Inspection Protocols were used during this inspection:

**Falls Prevention
Infection Prevention and Control
Personal Support Services**

During the course of this inspection, Non-Compliances were issued.

**2 WN(s)
1 VPC(s)
1 CO(s)
0 DR(s)
0 WAO(s)**

The following previously issued Order(s) were found to be in compliance at the time of this inspection:

Les Ordre(s) suivants émis antérieurement ont été trouvés en conformité lors de cette inspection:

REQUIREMENT/ EXIGENCE	TYPE OF ACTION/ GENRE DE MESURE	INSPECTION # / DE L'INSPECTION	NO	INSPECTOR ID #/ NO DE L'INSPECTEUR
LTCHA, 2007 S.O. 2007, c.8 s. 6. (7)	CO #001	2020_598570_0004		570

NON-COMPLIANCE / NON - RESPECT DES EXIGENCES

Legend	Légende
<p>WN – Written Notification VPC – Voluntary Plan of Correction DR – Director Referral CO – Compliance Order WAO – Work and Activity Order</p>	<p>WN – Avis écrit VPC – Plan de redressement volontaire DR – Aiguillage au directeur CO – Ordre de conformité WAO – Ordres : travaux et activités</p>
<p>Non-compliance with requirements under the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007 (LTCHA) was found. (a requirement under the LTCHA includes the requirements contained in the items listed in the definition of "requirement under this Act" in subsection 2(1) of the LTCHA).</p> <p>The following constitutes written notification of non-compliance under paragraph 1 of section 152 of the LTCHA.</p>	<p>Le non-respect des exigences de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée (LFSLD) a été constaté. (une exigence de la loi comprend les exigences qui font partie des éléments énumérés dans la définition de « exigence prévue par la présente loi », au paragraphe 2(1) de la LFSLD.</p> <p>Ce qui suit constitue un avis écrit de non-respect aux termes du paragraphe 1 de l'article 152 de la LFSLD.</p>

WN #1: The Licensee has failed to comply with O.Reg 79/10, s. 229. Infection prevention and control program

Specifically failed to comply with the following:

s. 229. (4) The licensee shall ensure that all staff participate in the implementation of the program. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 229 (4).

Findings/Faits saillants :

1. The licensee has failed to ensure that staff participated in the implementation of the Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC) program.

A Critical Incident System (CIS) report was submitted to the Director related to an outbreak in the home. There were a significant number of residents and staff infected with an illness during the outbreak.

Observations throughout the home were conducted and the following was noted:

- Overflowing small garbage bins with used personal protective equipment (PPE) were noted in several residents' rooms in the home.
- Infection control caddies were spaced out between rooms; not every room had a designated infection control caddy.
- A staff member was witnessed coming to the residents' home area wearing full PPE and indicated they were coming from the kitchen area.
- PSW #105 was observed with full PPE, delivered lunch to residents in two different rooms without changing their PPE. Both rooms had signage of precautions that require staff to wear PPE.

A review of the CIS report related to the outbreak, indicated the home identified several measures to manage the outbreak including the use of privacy curtains in shared rooms. During observations, privacy curtains were noted open in several rooms creating an open space between residents in shared rooms in two residents' home area.

During an interview, RPN #108 confirmed that infection control caddies were not available at every resident room.

During separate interviews, PSWs #105 and #110 indicated that they were instructed not to change gowns when delivering meals and putting on the aprons for residents. Both PSWs confirmed that privacy curtains were not kept withdrawn as they had no instructions to do so.

During an interview, the Executive Director (ED) and acting director of care (ADOC) acknowledged that the garbage bins should be picked up more often and should not be overflowing with used PPE. The ED acknowledged that not all residents' rooms have a designated infection control caddy. The ED acknowledged that privacy curtains do not come together in shared residents' rooms due to the tracks for the ceiling lifts in those rooms. The ADOC indicated that it was an expectation that staff should wear PPE when entering residents rooms and remove PPE when exiting residents' rooms.

By failing to ensure that all staff participated in the implementation of the infection control program, there was a risk of transmission of infectious agents during the ongoing outbreak in the home. There were a significant number of residents and staff infected with an illness during the outbreak.

Sources: CIS report, observations throughout the home and staff interviews (PSW #105, PSW #110 RPN #106, ADOC and ED). [s. 229. (4)]

Additional Required Actions:

CO # - 001 will be served on the licensee. Refer to the "Order(s) of the Inspector".

**WN #2: The Licensee has failed to comply with O.Reg 79/10, s. 33. Bathing
Specifically failed to comply with the following:**

s. 33. (1) Every licensee of a long-term care home shall ensure that each resident of the home is bathed, at a minimum, twice a week by the method of his or her choice and more frequently as determined by the resident's hygiene requirements, unless contraindicated by a medical condition. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 33 (1).

Findings/Faits saillants :

1. The licensee failed to ensure that residents were bathed, at a minimum, twice a week by the method of their choice and more frequently as determined by the resident's hygiene requirements, unless contraindicated by a medical condition.

A complaint was received by the MLTC related to residents were not bathed regularly.

A review of residents #001, #002 and #003 clinical records for a four-month period, indicated resident #001 did not receive their scheduled bath on one occasion, resident #002 did not receive their scheduled baths on two occasions, resident #003 did not receive their scheduled baths on three occasions.

A review of progress notes for residents #001, #002 and #003 did not indicate that baths missed were offered or given at different dates.

In an interview the Executive Director (ED) and the acting director of care (ADOC) indicated that residents at the home receive two baths a week and any missed bath is given at a later time or date. The ED and ADOC acknowledged the lack of bathing documentation on the above identified dates for residents #001, #002 and #003 and were unable to confirm that baths were given at those dates.

Clinical records for residents #001, #002 and #003 did not include any documentation that the residents received two baths a week on a regular basis.

Sources: Clinical records for residents #001, #002 and #003; interview with the ED and ADOC. [s. 33. (1)]

Additional Required Actions:

VPC - pursuant to the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007, S.O. 2007, c.8, s.152(2) the licensee is hereby requested to prepare a written plan of correction for achieving compliance to ensure that each resident of the home is bathed, at a minimum, twice a week by the method of his or her choice and more frequently as determined by the resident's hygiene requirements, unless contraindicated by a medical condition, to be implemented voluntarily.

Issued on this 17th day of February, 2021

Signature of Inspector(s)/Signature de l'inspecteur ou des inspecteurs

Original report signed by the inspector.

Order(s) of the Inspector

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Long-Term Care Operations Division
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch

Division des opérations relatives aux soins de longue durée
Inspection de soins de longue durée

Public Copy/Copie du rapport public

Name of Inspector (ID #) /

Nom de l'inspecteur (No) : SAMI JAROUR (570)

Inspection No. /

No de l'inspection : 2021_598570_0001

Log No. /

No de registre : 019358-20, 023817-20

Type of Inspection /

Genre d'inspection: Complaint

Report Date(s) /

Date(s) du Rapport : Feb 4, 2021

Licensee /

Titulaire de permis : CVH (No. 6) LP by its general partners, Southbridge
Health Care GP Inc. and Southbridge Care Homes (a
limited partnership, by its general partner, Southbridge
Care Homes Inc.)
766 Hespeler Road, Suite 301, c/o Southbridge Care
Homes, Cambridge, ON, N3H-5L8

LTC Home /

Foyer de SLD : Port Perry Place
15941 Simcoe Street, Port Perry, ON, L9L-1N5

Name of Administrator /

**Nom de l'administratrice
ou de l'administrateur :**

Laura Powell

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

To CVH (No. 6) LP by its general partners, Southbridge Health Care GP Inc. and Southbridge Care Homes (a limited partnership, by its general partner, Southbridge Care Homes Inc.), you are hereby required to comply with the following order(s) by the date(s) set out below:

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or section 154 of the *Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O. 2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O. 2007, chap. 8

Order # /

No d'ordre : 001

Order Type /

Genre d'ordre : Compliance Orders, s. 153. (1) (a)

Pursuant to / Aux termes de :

O.Reg 79/10, s. 229. (4) The licensee shall ensure that all staff participate in the implementation of the program. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 229 (4).

Order / Ordre :

The licensee must be compliant with s. 229 (4) of O. Reg. 79/10.

Specifically, the licensee must:

1. Continue with leadership monitoring and supervision in all residents home areas to ensure staff are adherent to the appropriate Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC) practices for the duration of the current outbreak.
2. Provide on the spot education and training to staff not adhering with appropriate IPAC measures until the current outbreak is resolved.
3. Ensure care caddies with PPE are readily available to staff in all home areas until the current outbreak is resolved.
4. Ensure privacy curtains are utilized between residents in shared rooms where infection is suspected or confirmed.

Grounds / Motifs :

1. The licensee has failed to ensure that staff participated in the implementation of the Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC) program.

1. The licensee has failed to ensure that staff participated in the implementation of the Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC) program.

A Critical Incident System (CIS) report was submitted to the Director related to an outbreak in the home. There were a significant number of residents and staff infected with an illness during the outbreak.

Order(s) of the Inspector**Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur**

Pursuant to section 153 and/or section 154 of the *Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O. 2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O. 2007, chap. 8

Observations throughout the home were conducted and the following was noted:

- Overflowing small garbage bins with used personal protective equipment (PPE) were noted in several residents' rooms in the home.
- Infection control caddies were spaced out between rooms; not every room had a designated infection control caddy.
- A staff member was witnessed coming to the residents' home area wearing full PPE and indicated they were coming from the kitchen area.
- PSW #105 was observed with full PPE, delivered lunch to residents in two different rooms without changing their PPE. Both rooms had signage of precautions that require staff to wear PPE.

A review of the CIS report related to the outbreak, indicated the home identified several measures to manage the outbreak including the use of privacy curtains in shared rooms. During observations, privacy curtains were noted open in several rooms creating an open space between residents in shared rooms in two residents' home areas.

During an interview, RPN #108 confirmed that infection control caddies were not available at every resident room.

During separate interviews, PSWs #105 and #110 indicated that they were instructed not to change gowns when delivering meals and putting on the aprons for residents. Both PSWs confirmed that privacy curtains were not kept withdrawn as they had no instructions to do so.

During an interview, the Executive Director (ED) and acting director of care (ADOC) acknowledged that the garbage bins should be picked up more often and should not be overflowing with used PPE. The ED acknowledged that not all residents' rooms have a designated infection control caddy. The ED acknowledged that privacy curtains do not come together in shared residents' rooms due to the tracks for the ceiling lifts in those rooms. The ADOC indicated that it was an expectation that staff should wear PPE when entering residents' rooms and remove PPE when exiting residents' rooms.

By failing to ensure that all staff participated in the implementation of the infection control program, there was a risk of transmission of infectious agents during the ongoing outbreak in the home. There were a significant number of

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

residents and staff infected with an illness during the outbreak.

Sources: CIS report, observations throughout the home and staff interviews (PSW #105, PSW #110 RPN #106, ADOC and ED).

An order was made by taking the following factors into account:

Severity: There was actual risk of harm to the residents as the outbreak was not contained and spread rapidly and severely throughout the home affecting both residents and staff.

Scope: The scope of this non-compliance was widespread because the IPAC related concerns were identified during observations throughout the home.

Compliance History: none related to this non-compliance. (570)

This order must be complied with by /

Vous devez vous conformer à cet ordre d'ici le :

Feb 11, 2021

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

REVIEW/APPEAL INFORMATION

TAKE NOTICE:

The Licensee has the right to request a review by the Director of this (these) Order(s) and to request that the Director stay this (these) Order(s) in accordance with section 163 of the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007.

The request for review by the Director must be made in writing and be served on the Director within 28 days from the day the order was served on the Licensee.

The written request for review must include,

- (a) the portions of the order in respect of which the review is requested;
- (b) any submissions that the Licensee wishes the Director to consider; and
- (c) an address for services for the Licensee.

The written request for review must be served personally, by registered mail, commercial courier or by fax upon:

Director
c/o Appeals Coordinator
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch
Ministry of Long-Term Care
1075 Bay Street, 11th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 2B1
Fax: 416-327-7603

When service is made by registered mail, it is deemed to be made on the fifth day after the day of mailing, when service is made by a commercial courier it is deemed to be made on the second business day after the day the courier receives the document, and when service is made by fax, it is deemed to be made on the first business day after the day the fax is sent. If the Licensee is not served with written notice of the Director's decision within 28 days of receipt of the Licensee's request for review, this(these) Order(s) is(are) deemed to be confirmed by the Director and the Licensee is deemed to have been served with a copy of that decision on the expiry of the 28 day period.

The Licensee has the right to appeal the Director's decision on a request for review of an Inspector's Order(s) to the Health Services Appeal and Review Board (HSARB) in accordance with section 164 of the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007. The HSARB is an independent tribunal not connected with the Ministry. They are established by legislation to review matters concerning health care services. If the Licensee decides to request a hearing, the Licensee must, within 28 days of being served with the notice of the Director's decision, give a written notice of appeal to both:

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Health Services Appeal and Review Board and the Director

Attention Registrar
Health Services Appeal and Review Board
151 Bloor Street West, 9th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 1S4

Director
c/o Appeals Coordinator
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch
Ministry of Long-Term Care
1075 Bay Street, 11th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 2B1
Fax: 416-327-7603

Upon receipt, the HSARB will acknowledge your notice of appeal and will provide instructions regarding the appeal process. The Licensee may learn more about the HSARB on the website www.hsarb.on.ca.

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

**RENSEIGNEMENTS RELATIFS AUX RÉEXAMENS DE DÉCISION ET AUX
APPELS**

PRENEZ AVIS :

Le/la titulaire de permis a le droit de faire une demande de réexamen par le directeur de cet ordre ou de ces ordres, et de demander que le directeur suspende cet ordre ou ces ordres conformément à l'article 163 de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée.

La demande au directeur doit être présentée par écrit et signifiée au directeur dans les 28 jours qui suivent la signification de l'ordre au/à la titulaire de permis.

La demande écrite doit comporter ce qui suit :

- a) les parties de l'ordre qui font l'objet de la demande de réexamen;
- b) les observations que le/la titulaire de permis souhaite que le directeur examine;
- c) l'adresse du/de la titulaire de permis aux fins de signification.

La demande de réexamen présentée par écrit doit être signifiée en personne, par courrier recommandé, par messagerie commerciale ou par télécopieur, au :

Directeur
a/s du coordonnateur/de la coordonnatrice en matière d'appels
Direction de l'inspection des foyers de soins de longue durée
Ministère des Soins de longue durée
1075, rue Bay, 11^e étage
Toronto ON M5S 2B1
Télécopieur : 416-327-7603

Order(s) of the Inspector**Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur**

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Quand la signification est faite par courrier recommandé, elle est réputée être faite le cinquième jour qui suit le jour de l'envoi, quand la signification est faite par messagerie commerciale, elle est réputée être faite le deuxième jour ouvrable après le jour où la messagerie reçoit le document, et lorsque la signification est faite par télécopieur, elle est réputée être faite le premier jour ouvrable qui suit le jour de l'envoi de la télécopie. Si un avis écrit de la décision du directeur n'est pas signifié au/à la titulaire de permis dans les 28 jours de la réception de la demande de réexamen présentée par le/la titulaire de permis, cet ordre ou ces ordres sont réputés être confirmés par le directeur, et le/la titulaire de permis est réputé(e) avoir reçu une copie de la décision en question à l'expiration de ce délai.

Le/la titulaire de permis a le droit d'interjeter appel devant la Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé (CARSS) de la décision du directeur relative à une demande de réexamen d'un ordre ou des ordres d'un inspecteur ou d'une inspectrice conformément à l'article 164 de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée. La CARSS est un tribunal autonome qui n'a pas de lien avec le ministère. Elle est créée par la loi pour examiner les questions relatives aux services de santé. Si le/la titulaire décide de faire une demande d'audience, il ou elle doit, dans les 28 jours de la signification de l'avis de la décision du directeur, donner par écrit un avis d'appel à la fois à :

la Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé et au directeur

À l'attention du/de la registrateur(e)
Commission d'appel et de révision
des services de santé
151, rue Bloor Ouest, 9e étage
Toronto ON M5S 1S4

Directeur
a/s du coordonnateur/de la coordonnatrice en matière
d'appels
Direction de l'inspection des foyers de soins de longue durée
Ministère des Soins de longue durée
1075, rue Bay, 11e étage
Toronto ON M5S 2B1
Télécopieur : 416-327-7603

À la réception de votre avis d'appel, la CARSS en accusera réception et fournira des instructions relatives au processus d'appel. Le/la titulaire de permis peut en savoir davantage sur la CARSS sur le site Web www.hsarb.on.ca.

Issued on this 4th day of February, 2021

Signature of Inspector /

Signature de l'inspecteur :

Name of Inspector /

Nom de l'inspecteur : Sami Jarour

Service Area Office /

Bureau régional de services : Central East Service Area Office