

**Ministry of Long-Term Care**  
Long-Term Care Operations Division  
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch

**London District**  
130 Dufferin Avenue, 4th Floor  
London, ON, N6A 5R2  
Telephone: (800) 663-3775  
londondistrict.mlhc@ontario.ca

## Original Public Report

<b>Report Issue Date:</b> December 28, 2022	
<b>Inspection Number:</b> 2022-1047-0003	
<b>Inspection Type:</b> Complaint	
<b>Licensee:</b> Caessant-Care Nursing and Retirement Homes Limited	
<b>Long Term Care Home and City:</b> The Maples Home for Seniors, Tavistock	
<b>Lead Inspector</b> Christie Birch (740898)	<b>Inspector Digital Signature</b>
<b>Additional Inspector(s)</b>	

## INSPECTION SUMMARY

The Inspection occurred on the following date(s): December 12 and 13, 2022  
The following intake(s) were inspected:

- Intake 00007847-Complainant with concerns regarding communication with the home.

The following **Inspection Protocols** were used during this inspection:

Infection Prevention and Control  
Resident Care and Support Services

## INSPECTION RESULTS

### WRITTEN NOTIFICATION: Plan of Care

**NC #1 Written Notification pursuant to FLTCA, 2021, s. 154 (1) 1.**  
Non-compliance with: FLTCA, 2021, s. 6 (5)

**Ministry of Long-Term Care**

Long-Term Care Operations Division  
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch

**London District**

130 Dufferin Avenue, 4th Floor  
London, ON, N6A 5R2  
Telephone: (800) 663-3775  
londondistrict.mltc@ontario.ca

The licensee has failed to ensure that the resident, the resident's substitute decision-maker, if any, and any other persons designated by the resident or substitute decision-maker are given an opportunity to participate fully in the development and implementation of the resident's plan of care.

A concern was brought forward by the complainant in relation to the communication from the home staff for updates on the residents.

In an interview, the Executive Director acknowledged both children are Power of Attorney (POA) jointly. The Executive Director also acknowledged complainant requested to be contacted with updates in a certain method.

In an interview the Registered Nurse acknowledged to only contact the First Contact in Point Click Care for updates.

In the POA document obtained by the home, both children were named as POAs jointly.

In the progress notes, only one substitute decision-maker (SDM) was documented to be contacted when there was a change of status.

In the resident profile in Point Click Care only one child was identified as POA and the other noted as "Call as last resort only".

Sources: Interviews with Executive Director and Registered Nurse  
Record Review - Progress notes, Resident profile-Contacts in Point Click Care, POA documents.  
[740898]

## **WRITTEN NOTIFICATION: IPAC Lead**

### **NC # 2 Written Notification pursuant to FLTCA, 2021, s. 154 (1) 1.**

Non-compliance with: O.Reg s.246/22, s. 102 (15) 1.

The Licensee has failed to ensure that the infection prevention and control lead worked regularly in that position on site at the home for at least 17.5 hours per week

Section 102 (15) 1 of the Ontario Regulation 246/22 specified a home with a licensed bed capacity of 69 or fewer, was required to have a designated infection prevention and control (IPAC) lead who worked regularly on site at the home for a minimum of 17.5 hours a week. The home had less than 69 bed capacity, and therefore met the 17.5 hours per week requirement.

**Ministry of Long-Term Care**

Long-Term Care Operations Division  
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch

**London District**

130 Dufferin Avenue, 4th Floor  
London, ON, N6A 5R2  
Telephone: (800) 663-3775  
londondistrict.mltc@ontario.ca

The Maples, Director of Care(DOC) confirmed being both the DOC and IPAC lead and had not worked a set number of hours in the IPAC role. They could not attest that they had spent the minimum of 17.5 hours per week requirement in their IPAC Lead role.

The Executive Director confirmed that the DOC was also the IPAC lead and had not worked the required 17.5 hours per week consistently in the IPAC role.

The home not having a designated IPAC lead whose primarily responsibility was the home's IPAC program has not shown evidence of risk to residents at the time of inspection.

Sources: Interview with The Maples DOC/IPAC Lead, Interview with Executive Director

[740898]