

**Inspection Report under
the Long-Term Care
Homes Act, 2007**

**Rapport d'inspection en vertu de
la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de
soins de longue durée**

**Long-Term Care Operations Division
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch**

**Division des opérations relatives aux
soins de longue durée
Inspection de soins de longue durée**

Central East Service Area Office
33 King Street West, 4th Floor
OSHAWA ON L1H 1A1
Telephone: (905) 440-4190
Facsimile: (905) 440-4111

Bureau régional de services de
Centre-Est
33, rue King Ouest, étage 4
OSHAWA ON L1H 1A1
Téléphone: (905) 440-4190
Télécopieur: (905) 440-4111

Public Copy/Copie du rapport public

Report Date(s) / Date(s) du Rapport	Inspection No / No de l'inspection	Log # / No de registre	Type of Inspection / Genre d'inspection
Jan 6, 2021	2021_838760_0001	000046-21	Critical Incident System

Licensee/Titulaire de permis

Royal Canadian Legion District 'D' Care Centres
59 Lawson Rd Toronto ON M1C 2J1

Long-Term Care Home/Foyer de soins de longue durée

Tony Stacey Centre for Veterans' Care
59 Lawson Road Toronto ON M1C 2J1

Name of Inspector(s)/Nom de l'inspecteur ou des inspecteurs

JACK SHI (760)

Inspection Summary/Résumé de l'inspection

The purpose of this inspection was to conduct a Critical Incident System inspection.

This inspection was conducted on the following date(s): January 4, 2021.

The following intake was completed in this critical incident inspection:

A log was related to an outbreak.

During the course of the inspection, the inspector(s) spoke with Registered Practical Nurses (RPN), Personal Support Workers (PSW), a Housekeeper, a Universal Care Consultant and the administrator

During the course of the inspection, the inspector conducted observations, interviews and record reviews.

**The following Inspection Protocols were used during this inspection:
Infection Prevention and Control**

During the course of this inspection, Non-Compliances were issued.

2 WN(s)

0 VPC(s)

1 CO(s)

0 DR(s)

0 WAO(s)

NON-COMPLIANCE / NON - RESPECT DES EXIGENCES

<p>Legend</p> <p>WN – Written Notification VPC – Voluntary Plan of Correction DR – Director Referral CO – Compliance Order WAO – Work and Activity Order</p>	<p>Légende</p> <p>WN – Avis écrit VPC – Plan de redressement volontaire DR – Aiguillage au directeur CO – Ordre de conformité WAO – Ordres : travaux et activités</p>
<p>Non-compliance with requirements under the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007 (LTCHA) was found. (a requirement under the LTCHA includes the requirements contained in the items listed in the definition of "requirement under this Act" in subsection 2(1) of the LTCHA).</p> <p>The following constitutes written notification of non-compliance under paragraph 1 of section 152 of the LTCHA.</p>	<p>Le non-respect des exigences de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée (LFSLD) a été constaté. (une exigence de la loi comprend les exigences qui font partie des éléments énumérés dans la définition de « exigence prévue par la présente loi », au paragraphe 2(1) de la LFSLD.</p> <p>Ce qui suit constitue un avis écrit de non-respect aux termes du paragraphe 1 de l'article 152 de la LFSLD.</p>

WN #1: The Licensee has failed to comply with O.Reg 79/10, s. 229. Infection prevention and control program

Specifically failed to comply with the following:

s. 229. (4) The licensee shall ensure that all staff participate in the implementation of the program. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 229 (4).

Findings/Faits saillants :

1. The licensee has failed to ensure that staff participated in the implementation of the Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC) program.

The home submitted Critical Incident System (CIS) report, related to an outbreak in the home. According to the administrator, public health declared the entire home in an outbreak and staff were directed to follow contact and droplet precautions home wide. A number of residents and staff had been tested positive for the outbreak.

Observations were conducted by the inspector and noted the following:

- A PSW was observed exiting a resident's room after providing care to them and applied hand sanitizer on their gloves. The PSW indicated they were not supposed to be doing this after exiting a resident's room.
- Another PSW was observed to not have doffed off their surgical mask after exiting a resident's room and performing care on the resident.

An interview with the administrator and a Universal Care consultant indicated the following:

- The home reviews the expectations on donning and doffing, which includes signage to remind staff on the proper practices on donning and doffing and also there were regular huddles and communication to staff on these practices. The PSW should not have been doing hand hygiene while their gloves were on.
- The home's proper IPAC practice was to have the staff remove their old surgical mask and apply a new one after they exit a resident's room.

As there was an outbreak at the home and the observations demonstrated that there were inconsistent IPAC practices from the staff of the home there was actual harm to the residents of the home. The risk associated to the staff not adhering to the home's IPAC program would be possible transmission of infectious agents during the ongoing outbreak in the home.

Sources: Interviews with two PSWs, a Universal Care consultant, the administrator and other staff; Record reviews of the line list of residents and observations made in the home. [s. 229. (4)]

Additional Required Actions:

CO # - 001 will be served on the licensee. Refer to the "Order(s) of the Inspector".

**WN #2: The Licensee has failed to comply with LTCHA, 2007 S.O. 2007, c.8, s. 15.
Accommodation services**

Specifically failed to comply with the following:

- s. 15. (2) Every licensee of a long-term care home shall ensure that,**
- (a) the home, furnishings and equipment are kept clean and sanitary; 2007, c. 8, s. 15 (2).**
 - (b) each resident's linen and personal clothing is collected, sorted, cleaned and delivered; and 2007, c. 8, s. 15 (2).**
 - (c) the home, furnishings and equipment are maintained in a safe condition and in a good state of repair. 2007, c. 8, s. 15 (2).**

Findings/Faits saillants :

1. The licensee failed to ensure that the home was kept clean and sanitary.

An observation in the home indicated the following:

- A full garbage bag with food waste was left in the hallway on a resident unit. An RPN indicated that the garbage will be taken out by them, as there was a miscommunication between them and the housekeeper on taking out the food waste garbage.
- Hair shavings were observed in the hallway, on a resident unit. A PSW stated that the hair shavings were not supposed to be in the hallways of the unit and that this did not follow the home's IPAC practices of the home.

An interview with the administrator and the Universal Care Consultant indicated the following:

- The garbage on the first floor should not have been left in the hallways of the unit, since there was staff available to remove the garbage from the home.
- It was not appropriate to have hair shavings left on the hallways of the unit. It should have been cleaned up by the housekeeper.

The observations demonstrated that there was minimal risk of harm to the residents, as the home was not kept in a clean and sanitary condition.

Sources: Interviews with a PSW, an RPN, a Universal Care consultant, the administrator and other staff and observations made in the home.[s. 15. (2)]

Issued on this 7th day of January, 2021

Signature of Inspector(s)/Signature de l'inspecteur ou des inspecteurs

Original report signed by the inspector.

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Long-Term Care Operations Division
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch

Division des opérations relatives aux soins de longue durée
Inspection de soins de longue durée

Public Copy/Copie du rapport public

Name of Inspector (ID #) /

Nom de l'inspecteur (No) : JACK SHI (760)

Inspection No. /

No de l'inspection : 2021_838760_0001

Log No. /

No de registre : 000046-21

Type of Inspection /

Genre d'inspection: Critical Incident System

Report Date(s) /

Date(s) du Rapport : Jan 6, 2021

Licensee /

Titulaire de permis : Royal Canadian Legion District 'D' Care Centres
59 Lawson Rd, Toronto, ON, M1C-2J1

LTC Home /

Foyer de SLD : Tony Stacey Centre for Veterans' Care
59 Lawson Road, Toronto, ON, M1C-2J1

Name of Administrator /

Nom de l'administratrice

ou de l'administrateur : Melissa Elliott

To Royal Canadian Legion District 'D' Care Centres, you are hereby required to
comply with the following order(s) by the date(s) set out below:

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Order # /

No d'ordre : 001

Order Type /

Genre d'ordre : Compliance Orders, s. 153. (1) (a)

Pursuant to / Aux termes de :

O.Reg 79/10, s. 229. (4) The licensee shall ensure that all staff participate in the implementation of the program. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 229 (4).

Order / Ordre :

The licensee must be compliant with s. 229 (4) of O. Reg. 79/10.

Specifically, the licensee must:

1. Provide leadership, monitoring, and supervision in all home areas to ensure staff adherence with appropriate Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC) practices.
2. Provide on the spot education and training to staff not adhering with appropriate IPAC measures.

Grounds / Motifs :

1. The licensee has failed to ensure that staff participated in the implementation of the Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC) program.

The home submitted Critical Incident System (CIS) report, related to an outbreak in the home. According to the administrator, public health declared the entire home in an outbreak and staff were directed to follow contact and droplet precautions home wide. A number of residents and staff had been tested positive for the outbreak.

- Observations were conducted by the inspector and noted the following:
- A PSW was observed exiting a resident's room after providing care to them and applied hand sanitizer on their gloves. The PSW indicated they were not supposed to be doing this after exiting a resident's room.
 - Another PSW was observed to not have doffed off their surgical mask after exiting a resident's room and performing care on the resident.

Order(s) of the Inspector**Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur**

Pursuant to section 153 and/or section 154 of the *Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O. 2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O. 2007, chap. 8

An interview with the administrator and a Universal Care consultant indicated the following:

- The home reviews the expectations on donning and doffing, which includes signage to remind staff on the proper practices on donning and doffing and also there were regular huddles and communication to staff on these practices. The PSW should not have been doing hand hygiene while their gloves were on.
- The home's proper IPAC practice was to have the staff remove their old surgical mask and apply a new one after they exit a resident's room.

As there was an outbreak at the home and the observations demonstrated that there were inconsistent IPAC practices from the staff of the home there was actual harm to the residents of the home. The risk associated to the staff not adhering to the home's IPAC program would be possible transmission of infectious agents during the ongoing outbreak in the home.

Sources: Interviews with two PSWs, a Universal Care consultant, the administrator and other staff; Record reviews of the line list of residents and observations made in the home.

An order was made by taking the following factors into account:

Severity: There was actual risk of harm to the residents because the home was in an outbreak and there was potential for possible transmission of infectious agents due to the staff not participating in the implementation of the IPAC program.

Scope: The scope of this non-compliance was widespread because the IPAC related concerns were identified during observations throughout the home, and the non-compliance has the potential to affect a large number of the LTCH's residents.

Compliance History: Multiple WNs and VPCs were issued to the home related to different sub-sections of the legislation in the past 36 months (760)

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

**This order must be complied with by /
Vous devez vous conformer à cet ordre d'ici le :**

Jan 11, 2021

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

REVIEW/APPEAL INFORMATION

TAKE NOTICE:

The Licensee has the right to request a review by the Director of this (these) Order(s) and to request that the Director stay this (these) Order(s) in accordance with section 163 of the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007.

The request for review by the Director must be made in writing and be served on the Director within 28 days from the day the order was served on the Licensee.

The written request for review must include,

- (a) the portions of the order in respect of which the review is requested;
- (b) any submissions that the Licensee wishes the Director to consider; and
- (c) an address for services for the Licensee.

The written request for review must be served personally, by registered mail, commercial courier or by fax upon:

Director
c/o Appeals Coordinator
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch
Ministry of Long-Term Care
1075 Bay Street, 11th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 2B1
Fax: 416-327-7603

When service is made by registered mail, it is deemed to be made on the fifth day after the day of mailing, when service is made by a commercial courier it is deemed to be made on the second business day after the day the courier receives the document, and when service is made by fax, it is deemed to be made on the first business day after the day the fax is sent. If the Licensee is not served with written notice of the Director's decision within 28 days of receipt of the Licensee's request for review, this(these) Order(s) is(are) deemed to be confirmed by the Director and the Licensee is deemed to have been served with a copy of that decision on the expiry of the 28 day period.

The Licensee has the right to appeal the Director's decision on a request for review of an Inspector's Order(s) to the Health Services Appeal and Review Board (HSARB) in accordance with section 164 of the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007. The HSARB is an independent tribunal not connected with the Ministry. They are established by legislation to review matters concerning health care services. If the Licensee decides to request a hearing, the Licensee must, within 28 days of being served with the notice of the Director's decision, give a written notice of appeal to both:

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Health Services Appeal and Review Board and the Director

Attention Registrar
Health Services Appeal and Review Board
151 Bloor Street West, 9th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 1S4

Director
c/o Appeals Coordinator
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch
Ministry of Long-Term Care
1075 Bay Street, 11th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 2B1
Fax: 416-327-7603

Upon receipt, the HSARB will acknowledge your notice of appeal and will provide instructions regarding the appeal process. The Licensee may learn more about the HSARB on the website www.hsarb.on.ca.

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

**RENSEIGNEMENTS RELATIFS AUX RÉEXAMENS DE DÉCISION ET AUX
APPELS**

PRENEZ AVIS :

Le/la titulaire de permis a le droit de faire une demande de réexamen par le directeur de cet ordre ou de ces ordres, et de demander que le directeur suspende cet ordre ou ces ordres conformément à l'article 163 de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée.

La demande au directeur doit être présentée par écrit et signifiée au directeur dans les 28 jours qui suivent la signification de l'ordre au/à la titulaire de permis.

La demande écrite doit comporter ce qui suit :

- a) les parties de l'ordre qui font l'objet de la demande de réexamen;
- b) les observations que le/la titulaire de permis souhaite que le directeur examine;
- c) l'adresse du/de la titulaire de permis aux fins de signification.

La demande de réexamen présentée par écrit doit être signifiée en personne, par courrier recommandé, par messagerie commerciale ou par télécopieur, au :

Directeur
a/s du coordonnateur/de la coordonnatrice en matière d'appels
Direction de l'inspection des foyers de soins de longue durée
Ministère des Soins de longue durée
1075, rue Bay, 11^e étage
Toronto ON M5S 2B1
Télécopieur : 416-327-7603

Order(s) of the Inspector**Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur**

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Quand la signification est faite par courrier recommandé, elle est réputée être faite le cinquième jour qui suit le jour de l'envoi, quand la signification est faite par messagerie commerciale, elle est réputée être faite le deuxième jour ouvrable après le jour où la messagerie reçoit le document, et lorsque la signification est faite par télécopieur, elle est réputée être faite le premier jour ouvrable qui suit le jour de l'envoi de la télécopie. Si un avis écrit de la décision du directeur n'est pas signifié au/à la titulaire de permis dans les 28 jours de la réception de la demande de réexamen présentée par le/la titulaire de permis, cet ordre ou ces ordres sont réputés être confirmés par le directeur, et le/la titulaire de permis est réputé(e) avoir reçu une copie de la décision en question à l'expiration de ce délai.

Le/la titulaire de permis a le droit d'interjeter appel devant la Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé (CARSS) de la décision du directeur relative à une demande de réexamen d'un ordre ou des ordres d'un inspecteur ou d'une inspectrice conformément à l'article 164 de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée. La CARSS est un tribunal autonome qui n'a pas de lien avec le ministère. Elle est créée par la loi pour examiner les questions relatives aux services de santé. Si le/la titulaire décide de faire une demande d'audience, il ou elle doit, dans les 28 jours de la signification de l'avis de la décision du directeur, donner par écrit un avis d'appel à la fois à :

la Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé et au directeur

À l'attention du/de la registrateur(e)
Commission d'appel et de révision
des services de santé
151, rue Bloor Ouest, 9e étage
Toronto ON M5S 1S4

Directeur
a/s du coordonnateur/de la coordonnatrice en matière
d'appels
Direction de l'inspection des foyers de soins de longue durée
Ministère des Soins de longue durée
1075, rue Bay, 11e étage
Toronto ON M5S 2B1
Télécopieur : 416-327-7603

À la réception de votre avis d'appel, la CARSS en accusera réception et fournira des instructions relatives au processus d'appel. Le/la titulaire de permis peut en savoir davantage sur la CARSS sur le site Web www.hsarb.on.ca.

Issued on this 6th day of January, 2021

Signature of Inspector /

Signature de l'inspecteur :

Name of Inspector /

Nom de l'inspecteur : Jack Shi

Service Area Office /

Bureau régional de services : Central East Service Area Office