

**Inspection Report under
the Long-Term Care
Homes Act, 2007**

**Rapport d'inspection en vertu de
la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de
soins de longue durée**

**Long-Term Care Operations Division
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch**

**Division des opérations relatives aux
soins de longue durée
Inspection de soins de longue durée**

London Service Area Office
130 Dufferin Avenue 4th floor
LONDON ON N6A 5R2
Telephone: (519) 873-1200
Facsimile: (519) 873-1300

Bureau régional de services de
London
130, avenue Dufferin 4ème étage
LONDON ON N6A 5R2
Téléphone: (519) 873-1200
Télécopieur: (519) 873-1300

Public Copy/Copie du rapport public

Report Date(s) / Date(s) du Rapport	Inspection No / No de l'inspection	Log # / No de registre	Type of Inspection / Genre d'inspection
Apr 14, 2021	2021_729615_0011	001014-21	Complaint

Licensee/Titulaire de permis

S & R Nursing Homes Ltd.
265 North Front Street Suite 200 Sarnia ON N7T 7X1

Long-Term Care Home/Foyer de soins de longue durée

Twin Lakes Terrace Long Term Care Community
1310 Murphy Road Sarnia ON N7S 6K5

Name of Inspector(s)/Nom de l'inspecteur ou des inspecteurs

HELENE DESABRAIS (615)

Inspection Summary/Résumé de l'inspection

The purpose of this inspection was to conduct a Complaint inspection.

This inspection was conducted on the following date(s): March 10, 11, 15 and 16, 2021.

The following intake was inspected during this inspection:

Complaint Log #001014-21/IL-86862-LO related to alleged prevention of abuse, neglect and retaliation, infection prevention and control and nutrition and hydration.

During the course of the inspection, the inspector(s) spoke with the Administrator, the Director of Care, the Registered Dietitian, a Dietary Aid, the Registered Practical Nurse - Infection Prevention and Control, the Registered Practical Nurse - Behavioural Support Ontario, two Registered Practical Nurses and the Personal Support Worker - Behavioural Support Ontario and a resident.

The inspector also toured the home, observed Infection Prevention and Control practices, residents and the care provided to them, reviewed residents' clinical records, home's policies and procedures and other relevant documents.

The following Inspection Protocols were used during this inspection:

Infection Prevention and Control

Nutrition and Hydration

Pain

Prevention of Abuse, Neglect and Retaliation

During the course of this inspection, Non-Compliances were issued.

6 WN(s)

3 VPC(s)

1 CO(s)

0 DR(s)

0 WAO(s)

NON-COMPLIANCE / NON - RESPECT DES EXIGENCES

<p>Legend</p> <p>WN – Written Notification VPC – Voluntary Plan of Correction DR – Director Referral CO – Compliance Order WAO – Work and Activity Order</p>	<p>Légende</p> <p>WN – Avis écrit VPC – Plan de redressement volontaire DR – Aiguillage au directeur CO – Ordre de conformité WAO – Ordres : travaux et activités</p>
<p>Non-compliance with requirements under the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007 (LTCHA) was found. (a requirement under the LTCHA includes the requirements contained in the items listed in the definition of "requirement under this Act" in subsection 2(1) of the LTCHA).</p> <p>The following constitutes written notification of non-compliance under paragraph 1 of section 152 of the LTCHA.</p>	<p>Le non-respect des exigences de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée (LFSLD) a été constaté. (une exigence de la loi comprend les exigences qui font partie des éléments énumérés dans la définition de « exigence prévue par la présente loi », au paragraphe 2(1) de la LFSLD.</p> <p>Ce qui suit constitue un avis écrit de non-respect aux termes du paragraphe 1 de l'article 152 de la LFSLD.</p>

WN #1: The Licensee has failed to comply with O.Reg 79/10, s. 52. Pain management

Specifically failed to comply with the following:

s. 52. (2) Every licensee of a long-term care home shall ensure that when a resident's pain is not relieved by initial interventions, the resident is assessed using a clinically appropriate assessment instrument specifically designed for this purpose. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 52 (2).

Findings/Faits saillants :

The licensee has failed to ensure that residents' pain was assessed using a clinically appropriate assessment instrument specifically designed for this purpose.

A review of two residents' progress notes on specific dates identified that both residents complained of a new pain on their body. No pain assessments were completed for both residents by the registered staff. During an interview, the Administrator and Director of Care stated that the home's expectation was that residents reporting pain should be assessed by a registered staff with the home's pain assessment tool . [s. 52. (2)]

The home's failure to not assess both residents' pain posed harm to the residents' health status as they were exposed to unpleasant sensory and emotional experience.

Sources: Residents' clinical records, the home's policy "Pain Management Program (last revised August 16, 2017) and staff interviews. [s. 52. (2)]

Additional Required Actions:

CO # - 001 will be served on the licensee. Refer to the "Order(s) of the Inspector".

**WN #2: The Licensee has failed to comply with LTCHA, 2007 S.O. 2007, c.8, s. 6.
Plan of care**

Specifically failed to comply with the following:

s. 6. (2) The licensee shall ensure that the care set out in the plan of care is based on an assessment of the resident and the needs and preferences of that resident. 2007, c. 8, s. 6 (2).

Findings/Faits saillants :

The licensee has failed ensure that the care set out in the plan of care was based on an assessment of a resident and the needs and preferences of that resident.

During an interview, a resident stated that when they ask staff to reheat their beverage it was always refused stating that they cannot do that for Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC). The resident mentioned that they felt frustrated and it was not reasonable to say, as they were witnessing staff heat up items for other residents and staff. During that day the Inspector asked a Dietary Aid if their meal could be reheated and they agreed to do so.

A review of the resident's progress notes on a specific date, stated in part that the resident was verbally aggressive with staff due to staff stating to resident that their beverage could not be reheated due infection control reasons. The resident's plan of care did not identified the resident's needs and preferences regarding having their beverage reheated.

During an interview, when asked if residents could reheat their beverage, an Registered Practical Nurse (RPN) stated they had to look at IPAC procedures, that they can have their beverage reheated and be careful and then sanitize the area after. The RPN added, that the resident should have their beverage reheated that it was the resident's rights.

During an interview, when asked if residents could reheat their beverage, the Personal Support Worker - Behavioural Support Ontario (PSW-BSO) stated it has happened related to IPAC but staff were told by the Administrator and the Director of Care to tell that resident they could not reheat their beverage for IPAC reasons.

During an interview, the DOC stated that they would not reheat the resident's beverage during the outbreak for IPAC reasons. A review of the Ministry of Long Term Care Critical Incident System, the home submitted a Critical Incident report reporting a Disease Outbreak and in which the outbreak declared over by Public Health on a specific date and the above resident's progress note was dated after the outbreak.

Sources: Resident's progress notes, Ministry of Long Term Care Critical Incident System website and interviews with staff. [s. 6. (2)]

Additional Required Actions:

VPC - pursuant to the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007, S.O. 2007, c.8, s.152(2) the licensee is hereby requested to prepare a written plan of correction for achieving compliance to ensure that the care set out in the plan of care was based on an assessment of the resident and the needs and preferences of that resident, to be implemented voluntarily.

WN #3: The Licensee has failed to comply with O.Reg 79/10, s. 8. Policies, etc., to be followed, and records

Specifically failed to comply with the following:

s. 8. (1) Where the Act or this Regulation requires the licensee of a long-term care home to have, institute or otherwise put in place any plan, policy, protocol, procedure, strategy or system, the licensee is required to ensure that the plan, policy, protocol, procedure, strategy or system,

(a) is in compliance with and is implemented in accordance with applicable requirements under the Act; and O. Reg. 79/10, s. 8 (1).

(b) is complied with. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 8 (1).

Findings/Faits saillants :

1. The licensee has failed to ensure the Dining Program policy and procedures included in the required Dietary Service and Hydration Program were complied with for residents.

LTCHA s.11 (1)(a) requires an organized program of nutrition care and dietary services for the home to meet the daily nutrition needs of the residents.

O. Reg. 79/10, s. 73 (1) 3, requires that the program includes a dining and snack service that includes meal service in a congregate dining setting unless a resident's assessed needs indicate otherwise.

Staff did not comply with the home's policy and procedure "Dining Program", specifically: Residents will be served meals in dining room unless their needs are better met in another location as identified in resident care plan.

During an interview with the Complainant, they said the resident often received their lunch time preferred meal two hours later after the first meal was offered to other residents. During an interview with the resident, they said, in part, that they preferred having their meal in their room and that staff won't bring them a meal if they did not go to the dining room. The resident added they had to wait for the snack cart two hours later to eat their preferred meal. On four different days, the Inspector observed the resident not eating in the dining room of the home at lunch time. The resident was sitting in their room without a meal.

During an interview, the Registered Dietitian (RD) stated in part that they encourage residents to go to the dining room of the home but if they refuse, they could not force them. The RD added that if the resident did not want the meals that the home was proposing, for example at lunch time, the resident could request their preferred meal for lunch, not wait two hours later at snack time.

As per the home's policy, there was no assessment of the resident's need to be served meals in another location identified in the resident's clinical records and plan of care.

Sources: the home's Dining Program policy and procedures (last revised February 26, 2018), resident's clinical records, observations, interviews with Complainant, the resident and staff. [s. 8. (1) (a),s. 8. (1) (b)]

Additional Required Actions:

VPC - pursuant to the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007, S.O. 2007, c.8, s.152(2) the licensee is hereby requested to prepare a written plan of correction for achieving compliance to ensure the Dining Program policy and procedures included in the required Dietary Service and Hydration Program were complied with for residents, to be implemented voluntarily.

WN #4: The Licensee has failed to comply with LTCHA, 2007 S.O. 2007, c.8, s. 23. Licensee must investigate, respond and act

Specifically failed to comply with the following:

- s. 23. (1) Every licensee of a long-term care home shall ensure that,**
- (a) every alleged, suspected or witnessed incident of the following that the licensee knows of, or that is reported to the licensee, is immediately investigated:**
 - (i) abuse of a resident by anyone,**
 - (ii) neglect of a resident by the licensee or staff, or**
 - (iii) anything else provided for in the regulations; 2007, c. 8, s. 23 (1).**
 - (b) appropriate action is taken in response to every such incident; and 2007, c. 8, s. 23 (1).**
 - (c) any requirements that are provided for in the regulations for investigating and responding as required under clauses (a) and (b) are complied with. 2007, c. 8, s. 23 (1).**

Findings/Faits saillants :

The licensee has failed to ensure that every reported alleged abuse of a resident by anyone was immediately investigated.

A review of email correspondences sent by the Complainant to the Administrator on four different dates, included complaints of alleged staff verbal and emotional abuse and, care concerns related to a resident. During interviews with the resident they reported to the Inspector alleged verbal and emotional abuse from the Director of Care and reported it to the Administrator. During an interview with the Administrator and the Director of Care, the Administrator could not provide the Inspector the home's investigation and stated that they did not investigate the alleged verbal and emotional abuse of the resident.

The home's failure to immediately investigate the alleged verbal and emotional abuse caused actual risk of harm to the resident emotional well being.

Sources: Complainant email correspondences, interviews with the Complainant, the resident and staff. [s. 23. (1) (a)]

Additional Required Actions:

VPC - pursuant to the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007, S.O. 2007, c.8, s.152(2) the licensee is hereby requested to prepare a written plan of correction for achieving compliance to ensure that every reported alleged abuse of a resident by anyone is immediately investigated, to be implemented voluntarily.

WN #5: The Licensee has failed to comply with LTCHA, 2007 S.O. 2007, c.8, s. 22. Licensee to forward complaints

Specifically failed to comply with the following:

s. 22. (1) Every licensee of a long-term care home who receives a written complaint concerning the care of a resident or the operation of the long-term care home shall immediately forward it to the Director. 2007, c. 8, s. 22 (1).

Findings/Faits saillants :

The licensee has failed to immediately forward a received written complaint to the Director concerning the care of a resident or the operation of the long-term care home.

On four different dates, the Complainant sent ,via email correspondences to the Administrator, complaints of alleged staff verbal and emotional abuse and, care concerns related to the resident. During interviews with the resident, they reported to the Inspector staff alleged verbal and emotional abuse towards them and was visibly upset. During an interview with the Administrator and the Director of Care, the Administrator stated they did not report the alleged verbal and emotional abuse of the resident to the Director.

Sources: Complainant email correspondences, Ministry of Long Term Care Homes Portal for submitting Critical Incident System Reports (ltchomes.net), interviews with the Complainant, the resident and staff. [s. 22. (1)]

WN #6: The Licensee has failed to comply with LTCHA, 2007 S.O. 2007, c.8, s. 24. Reporting certain matters to Director

Specifically failed to comply with the following:

s. 24. (1) A person who has reasonable grounds to suspect that any of the following has occurred or may occur shall immediately report the suspicion and the information upon which it is based to the Director:

- 1. Improper or incompetent treatment or care of a resident that resulted in harm or a risk of harm to the resident. 2007, c. 8, s. 24 (1), 195 (2).**
- 2. Abuse of a resident by anyone or neglect of a resident by the licensee or staff that resulted in harm or a risk of harm to the resident. 2007, c. 8, s. 24 (1), 195 (2).**
- 3. Unlawful conduct that resulted in harm or a risk of harm to a resident. 2007, c. 8, s. 24 (1), 195 (2).**
- 4. Misuse or misappropriation of a resident's money. 2007, c. 8, s. 24 (1), 195 (2).**
- 5. Misuse or misappropriation of funding provided to a licensee under this Act or the Local Health System Integration Act, 2006. 2007, c. 8, s. 24 (1), 195 (2).**

Findings/Faits saillants :

The licensee has failed to ensure the person who had reasonable grounds to suspect abuse of a resident by anyone that resulted in harm or risk of harm immediately reported the suspicion and the information upon which it was based to the Director.

On four different dates, the Complainant sent, via email correspondences, to the Administrator, complaints of alleged staff verbal and emotional abuse and, care concerns related to the resident. During interviews with the resident, they told the Inspector that a staff member was verbally and emotionally abusive. During an interview with the Administrator and the Director of Care, the Administrator acknowledged they did not report the alleged verbal and emotional abuse of the resident to the Director.

Sources: Complainant email correspondences, Ministry of Long Term Care Homes Portal for submitting Critical Incident System Reports (ltchomes.net), interviews with the Complainant, resident #001 and staff. [s. 24. (1)]

Issued on this 16th day of April, 2021

Signature of Inspector(s)/Signature de l'inspecteur ou des inspecteurs

Original report signed by the inspector.

Order(s) of the Inspector

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Long-Term Care Operations Division
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch

Division des opérations relatives aux soins de longue durée
Inspection de soins de longue durée

Public Copy/Copie du rapport public

Name of Inspector (ID #) /

Nom de l'inspecteur (No) : HELENE DESABRAIS (615)

Inspection No. /

No de l'inspection : 2021_729615_0011

Log No. /

No de registre : 001014-21

Type of Inspection /

Genre d'inspection: Complaint

Report Date(s) /

Date(s) du Rapport : Apr 14, 2021

Licensee /

Titulaire de permis : S & R Nursing Homes Ltd.
265 North Front Street, Suite 200, Sarnia, ON, N7T-7X1

LTC Home /

Foyer de SLD : Twin Lakes Terrace Long Term Care Community
1310 Murphy Road, Sarnia, ON, N7S-6K5

Name of Administrator /

Nom de l'administratrice

ou de l'administrateur : Cathy McIntosh

To S & R Nursing Homes Ltd., you are hereby required to comply with the following
order(s) by the date(s) set out below:

Order(s) of the Inspector**Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur**

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Order # /**No d'ordre :** 001**Order Type /****Genre d'ordre :** Compliance Orders, s. 153. (1) (a)**Pursuant to / Aux termes de :**

O.Reg 79/10, s. 52. (2) Every licensee of a long-term care home shall ensure that when a resident's pain is not relieved by initial interventions, the resident is assessed using a clinically appropriate assessment instrument specifically designed for this purpose. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 52 (2).

Order / Ordre :

The licensee must comply with O. Reg 79/10 s. 52(2).

Specifically the licensee must:

Ensure when residents and any other residents' pain is not relieved by initial interventions, the residents are assessed using a clinically appropriate assessment instrument specifically designed for this purpose.

Grounds / Motifs :

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

1. The licensee has failed to ensure that residents' pain was assessed using a clinically appropriate assessment instrument specifically designed for this purpose.

A review of two residents' progress notes on specific dates identified that both residents complained of a new pain on their body. No pain assessments were completed for both residents by the registered staff. During an interview, the Administrator and Director of Care stated that the home's expectation was that residents reporting pain should be assessed by a registered staff with the home's pain assessment tool .

The home's failure to not assess both residents' pain posed harm to the residents' health status as they were exposed to unpleasant sensory and emotional experience.

Sources: Residents' clinical records, the home's policy "Pain Management Program (last revised August 16, 2017) and staff interviews. [s. 52. (2)]

Severity: There was actual harm to residents in the home as their pain could not be properly relieved.

Scope: Two out of three residents were not provided pain assessments when reporting pain demonstrating non-compliance as a pattern in the home.

Compliance History: In the last 36 months, the licensee had no previous non-compliance related to this section. (615)

2. . (615)

**This order must be complied with by /
Vous devez vous conformer à cet ordre d'ici le :**

Apr 24, 2021

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

REVIEW/APPEAL INFORMATION

TAKE NOTICE:

The Licensee has the right to request a review by the Director of this (these) Order(s) and to request that the Director stay this (these) Order(s) in accordance with section 163 of the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007.

The request for review by the Director must be made in writing and be served on the Director within 28 days from the day the order was served on the Licensee.

The written request for review must include,

- (a) the portions of the order in respect of which the review is requested;
- (b) any submissions that the Licensee wishes the Director to consider; and
- (c) an address for services for the Licensee.

The written request for review must be served personally, by registered mail, commercial courier or by fax upon:

Director
c/o Appeals Coordinator
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch
Ministry of Long-Term Care
1075 Bay Street, 11th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 2B1
Fax: 416-327-7603

When service is made by registered mail, it is deemed to be made on the fifth day after the day of mailing, when service is made by a commercial courier it is deemed to be made on the second business day after the day the courier receives the document, and when service is made by fax, it is deemed to be made on the first business day after the day the fax is sent. If the Licensee is not served with written notice of the Director's decision within 28 days of receipt of the Licensee's request for review, this(these) Order(s) is(are) deemed to be confirmed by the Director and the Licensee is deemed to have been served with a copy of that decision on the expiry of the 28 day period.

The Licensee has the right to appeal the Director's decision on a request for review of an Inspector's Order(s) to the Health Services Appeal and Review Board (HSARB) in accordance with section 164 of the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007. The HSARB is an independent tribunal not connected with the Ministry. They are established by legislation to review matters concerning health care services. If the Licensee decides to request a hearing, the Licensee must, within 28 days of being served with the notice of the Director's decision, give a written notice of appeal to both:

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Health Services Appeal and Review Board and the Director

Attention Registrar
Health Services Appeal and Review Board
151 Bloor Street West, 9th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 1S4

Director
c/o Appeals Coordinator
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch
Ministry of Long-Term Care
1075 Bay Street, 11th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 2B1
Fax: 416-327-7603

Upon receipt, the HSARB will acknowledge your notice of appeal and will provide instructions regarding the appeal process. The Licensee may learn more about the HSARB on the website www.hsarb.on.ca.

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

**RENSEIGNEMENTS RELATIFS AUX RÉEXAMENS DE DÉCISION ET AUX
APPELS**

PRENEZ AVIS :

Le/la titulaire de permis a le droit de faire une demande de réexamen par le directeur de cet ordre ou de ces ordres, et de demander que le directeur suspende cet ordre ou ces ordres conformément à l'article 163 de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée.

La demande au directeur doit être présentée par écrit et signifiée au directeur dans les 28 jours qui suivent la signification de l'ordre au/à la titulaire de permis.

La demande écrite doit comporter ce qui suit :

- a) les parties de l'ordre qui font l'objet de la demande de réexamen;
- b) les observations que le/la titulaire de permis souhaite que le directeur examine;
- c) l'adresse du/de la titulaire de permis aux fins de signification.

La demande de réexamen présentée par écrit doit être signifiée en personne, par courrier recommandé, par messagerie commerciale ou par télécopieur, au :

Directeur
a/s du coordonnateur/de la coordonnatrice en matière d'appels
Direction de l'inspection des foyers de soins de longue durée
Ministère des Soins de longue durée
1075, rue Bay, 11^e étage
Toronto ON M5S 2B1
Télécopieur : 416-327-7603

Order(s) of the Inspector**Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur**

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Quand la signification est faite par courrier recommandé, elle est réputée être faite le cinquième jour qui suit le jour de l'envoi, quand la signification est faite par messagerie commerciale, elle est réputée être faite le deuxième jour ouvrable après le jour où la messagerie reçoit le document, et lorsque la signification est faite par télécopieur, elle est réputée être faite le premier jour ouvrable qui suit le jour de l'envoi de la télécopie. Si un avis écrit de la décision du directeur n'est pas signifié au/à la titulaire de permis dans les 28 jours de la réception de la demande de réexamen présentée par le/la titulaire de permis, cet ordre ou ces ordres sont réputés être confirmés par le directeur, et le/la titulaire de permis est réputé(e) avoir reçu une copie de la décision en question à l'expiration de ce délai.

Le/la titulaire de permis a le droit d'interjeter appel devant la Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé (CARSS) de la décision du directeur relative à une demande de réexamen d'un ordre ou des ordres d'un inspecteur ou d'une inspectrice conformément à l'article 164 de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée. La CARSS est un tribunal autonome qui n'a pas de lien avec le ministère. Elle est créée par la loi pour examiner les questions relatives aux services de santé. Si le/la titulaire décide de faire une demande d'audience, il ou elle doit, dans les 28 jours de la signification de l'avis de la décision du directeur, donner par écrit un avis d'appel à la fois à :

la Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé et au directeur

À l'attention du/de la registrateur(e)
Commission d'appel et de révision
des services de santé
151, rue Bloor Ouest, 9e étage
Toronto ON M5S 1S4

Directeur
a/s du coordonnateur/de la coordonnatrice en matière
d'appels
Direction de l'inspection des foyers de soins de longue durée
Ministère des Soins de longue durée
1075, rue Bay, 11e étage
Toronto ON M5S 2B1
Télécopieur : 416-327-7603

À la réception de votre avis d'appel, la CARSS en accusera réception et fournira des instructions relatives au processus d'appel. Le/la titulaire de permis peut en savoir davantage sur la CARSS sur le site Web www.hsarb.on.ca.

Issued on this 14th day of April, 2021

Signature of Inspector /

Signature de l'inspecteur :

Name of Inspector /

Nom de l'inspecteur : Helene Desabrais

Service Area Office /

Bureau régional de services : London Service Area Office

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Long-Term Care Operations Division
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch

Division des opérations relatives aux soins de longue durée
Inspection de soins de longue durée

Public Copy/Copie du rapport public

Name of Inspector (ID #) /

Nom de l'inspecteur (No) : HELENE DESABRAIS (615)

Inspection No. /

No de l'inspection : 2021_729615_0011

Log No. /

No de registre : 001014-21

Type of Inspection /

Genre d'inspection: Complaint

Report Date(s) /

Date(s) du Rapport : Apr 14, 2021

Licensee /

Titulaire de permis : S & R Nursing Homes Ltd.
265 North Front Street, Suite 200, Sarnia, ON, N7T-7X1

LTC Home /

Foyer de SLD : Twin Lakes Terrace Long Term Care Community
1310 Murphy Road, Sarnia, ON, N7S-6K5

Name of Administrator /

Nom de l'administratrice

ou de l'administrateur : Cathy McIntosh

To S & R Nursing Homes Ltd., you are hereby required to comply with the following
order(s) by the date(s) set out below:

Order(s) of the Inspector**Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur**

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Order # /**No d'ordre :** 001**Order Type /****Genre d'ordre :** Compliance Orders, s. 153. (1) (a)**Pursuant to / Aux termes de :**

O.Reg 79/10, s. 52. (2) Every licensee of a long-term care home shall ensure that when a resident's pain is not relieved by initial interventions, the resident is assessed using a clinically appropriate assessment instrument specifically designed for this purpose. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 52 (2).

Order / Ordre :

The licensee must comply with O. Reg 79/10 s. 52(2).

Specifically the licensee must:

Ensure when residents and any other residents' pain is not relieved by initial interventions, the residents are assessed using a clinically appropriate assessment instrument specifically designed for this purpose.

Grounds / Motifs :

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

1. The licensee has failed to ensure that residents' pain was assessed using a clinically appropriate assessment instrument specifically designed for this purpose.

A review of two residents' progress notes on specific dates identified that both residents complained of a new pain on their body. No pain assessments were completed for both residents by the registered staff. During an interview, the Administrator and Director of Care stated that the home's expectation was that residents reporting pain should be assessed by a registered staff with the home's pain assessment tool .

The home's failure to not assess both residents' pain posed harm to the residents' health status as they were exposed to unpleasant sensory and emotional experience.

Sources: Residents' clinical records, the home's policy "Pain Management Program (last revised August 16, 2017) and staff interviews. [s. 52. (2)]

Severity: There was actual harm to residents in the home as their pain could not be properly relieved.

Scope: Two out of three residents were not provided pain assessments when reporting pain demonstrating non-compliance as a pattern in the home.

Compliance History: In the last 36 months, the licensee had no previous non-compliance related to this section. (615)

2. . (615)

**This order must be complied with by /
Vous devez vous conformer à cet ordre d'ici le :**

Apr 24, 2021

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

REVIEW/APPEAL INFORMATION

TAKE NOTICE:

The Licensee has the right to request a review by the Director of this (these) Order(s) and to request that the Director stay this (these) Order(s) in accordance with section 163 of the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007.

The request for review by the Director must be made in writing and be served on the Director within 28 days from the day the order was served on the Licensee.

The written request for review must include,

- (a) the portions of the order in respect of which the review is requested;
- (b) any submissions that the Licensee wishes the Director to consider; and
- (c) an address for services for the Licensee.

The written request for review must be served personally, by registered mail, commercial courier or by fax upon:

Director
c/o Appeals Coordinator
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch
Ministry of Long-Term Care
1075 Bay Street, 11th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 2B1
Fax: 416-327-7603

When service is made by registered mail, it is deemed to be made on the fifth day after the day of mailing, when service is made by a commercial courier it is deemed to be made on the second business day after the day the courier receives the document, and when service is made by fax, it is deemed to be made on the first business day after the day the fax is sent. If the Licensee is not served with written notice of the Director's decision within 28 days of receipt of the Licensee's request for review, this(these) Order(s) is(are) deemed to be confirmed by the Director and the Licensee is deemed to have been served with a copy of that decision on the expiry of the 28 day period.

The Licensee has the right to appeal the Director's decision on a request for review of an Inspector's Order(s) to the Health Services Appeal and Review Board (HSARB) in accordance with section 164 of the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007. The HSARB is an independent tribunal not connected with the Ministry. They are established by legislation to review matters concerning health care services. If the Licensee decides to request a hearing, the Licensee must, within 28 days of being served with the notice of the Director's decision, give a written notice of appeal to both:

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Health Services Appeal and Review Board and the Director

Attention Registrar
Health Services Appeal and Review Board
151 Bloor Street West, 9th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 1S4

Director
c/o Appeals Coordinator
Long-Term Care Inspections Branch
Ministry of Long-Term Care
1075 Bay Street, 11th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 2B1
Fax: 416-327-7603

Upon receipt, the HSARB will acknowledge your notice of appeal and will provide instructions regarding the appeal process. The Licensee may learn more about the HSARB on the website www.hsarb.on.ca.

Order(s) of the Inspector

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

**RENSEIGNEMENTS RELATIFS AUX RÉEXAMENS DE DÉCISION ET AUX
APPELS**

PRENEZ AVIS :

Le/la titulaire de permis a le droit de faire une demande de réexamen par le directeur de cet ordre ou de ces ordres, et de demander que le directeur suspende cet ordre ou ces ordres conformément à l'article 163 de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée.

La demande au directeur doit être présentée par écrit et signifiée au directeur dans les 28 jours qui suivent la signification de l'ordre au/à la titulaire de permis.

La demande écrite doit comporter ce qui suit :

- a) les parties de l'ordre qui font l'objet de la demande de réexamen;
- b) les observations que le/la titulaire de permis souhaite que le directeur examine;
- c) l'adresse du/de la titulaire de permis aux fins de signification.

La demande de réexamen présentée par écrit doit être signifiée en personne, par courrier recommandé, par messagerie commerciale ou par télécopieur, au :

Directeur
a/s du coordonnateur/de la coordonnatrice en matière d'appels
Direction de l'inspection des foyers de soins de longue durée
Ministère des Soins de longue durée
1075, rue Bay, 11^e étage
Toronto ON M5S 2B1
Télécopieur : 416-327-7603

Order(s) of the Inspector**Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur**

Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term
Care Homes Act, 2007*, S.O.
2007, c. 8

Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou de
l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les
foyers de soins de longue durée*, L.O.
2007, chap. 8

Quand la signification est faite par courrier recommandé, elle est réputée être faite le cinquième jour qui suit le jour de l'envoi, quand la signification est faite par messagerie commerciale, elle est réputée être faite le deuxième jour ouvrable après le jour où la messagerie reçoit le document, et lorsque la signification est faite par télécopieur, elle est réputée être faite le premier jour ouvrable qui suit le jour de l'envoi de la télécopie. Si un avis écrit de la décision du directeur n'est pas signifié au/à la titulaire de permis dans les 28 jours de la réception de la demande de réexamen présentée par le/la titulaire de permis, cet ordre ou ces ordres sont réputés être confirmés par le directeur, et le/la titulaire de permis est réputé(e) avoir reçu une copie de la décision en question à l'expiration de ce délai.

Le/la titulaire de permis a le droit d'interjeter appel devant la Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé (CARSS) de la décision du directeur relative à une demande de réexamen d'un ordre ou des ordres d'un inspecteur ou d'une inspectrice conformément à l'article 164 de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée. La CARSS est un tribunal autonome qui n'a pas de lien avec le ministère. Elle est créée par la loi pour examiner les questions relatives aux services de santé. Si le/la titulaire décide de faire une demande d'audience, il ou elle doit, dans les 28 jours de la signification de l'avis de la décision du directeur, donner par écrit un avis d'appel à la fois à :

la Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé et au directeur

À l'attention du/de la registrateur(e)
Commission d'appel et de révision
des services de santé
151, rue Bloor Ouest, 9e étage
Toronto ON M5S 1S4

Directeur
a/s du coordonnateur/de la coordonnatrice en matière
d'appels
Direction de l'inspection des foyers de soins de longue durée
Ministère des Soins de longue durée
1075, rue Bay, 11e étage
Toronto ON M5S 2B1
Télécopieur : 416-327-7603

À la réception de votre avis d'appel, la CARSS en accusera réception et fournira des instructions relatives au processus d'appel. Le/la titulaire de permis peut en savoir davantage sur la CARSS sur le site Web www.hsarb.on.ca.

Issued on this 14th day of April, 2021

Signature of Inspector /

Signature de l'inspecteur :

Name of Inspector /

Nom de l'inspecteur : Helene Desabrais

Service Area Office /

Bureau régional de services : London Service Area Office