



Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care

Ministère de la Santé et des Soins de longue durée

Inspection Report under the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007

Rapport d'inspection prévue le Loi de 2007 les foyers de soins de longue

Health System Accountability and Performance Division
Performance Improvement and Compliance Branch
Division de la responsabilisation et de la performance du système de santé
Direction de l'amélioration de la performance et de la conformité

Toronto Service Area Office
55 St. Clair Avenue West, 8th Floor
TORONTO, ON, M4V-2Y7
Telephone: (416) 325-9297
Facsimile: (416) 327-4486

Bureau régional de services de Toronto
55, avenue St. Clair Ouest, 8ième étage
TORONTO, ON, M4V-2Y7
Téléphone: (416) 325-9297
Télécopieur: (416) 327-4486

Public Copy/Copie du public

Table with 3 columns: Date(s) of inspection, Inspection No, Type of Inspection. Row 1: Mar 7, 12, 20, 21, 29, 2012; 2012_077109_0010; Complaint

Licensee/Titulaire de permis

VICTORIA VILLAGE INC.
76 ROSS STREET, BARRIE, ON, L4N-1G3

Long-Term Care Home/Foyer de soins de longue durée

VICTORIA VILLAGE MANOR
78 ROSS STREET, BARRIE, ON, L4N-1G3

Name of Inspector(s)/Nom de l'inspecteur ou des inspecteurs

SUSAN SQUIRES (109)

Inspection Summary/Résumé de l'inspection

The purpose of this inspection was to conduct a Complaint inspection.

During the course of the inspection, the inspector(s) spoke with Administrator, Director of Care, ADOC, Unit Coordinator, Registered Nursing Staff, PSW staff, Physiotherapist, Restorative Care Coordinator, ARJO Company representatives.

During the course of the inspection, the inspector(s) Observed staff and resident, observed mechanical lift, reviewed the health record for a resident, reviewed the homes education records, policy for lifts and transfers, manufacturers' instructions for use of lifts and slings in use in the home.

The following Inspection Protocols were used during this inspection:

Personal Support Services

Safe and Secure Home

Findings of Non-Compliance were found during this inspection.

NON-COMPLIANCE / NON-RESPECT DES EXIGENCES

<p>Legend</p> <p>WN – Written Notification VPC – Voluntary Plan of Correction DR – Director Referral CO – Compliance Order WAO – Work and Activity Order</p>	<p>Legendé</p> <p>WN – Avis écrit VPC – Plan de redressement volontaire DR – Aiguillage au directeur CO – Ordre de conformité WAO – Ordres : travaux et activités</p>
<p>Non-compliance with requirements under the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007 (LTCHA) was found. (A requirement under the LTCHA includes the requirements contained in the items listed in the definition of "requirement under this Act" in subsection 2(1) of the LTCHA.)</p> <p>The following constitutes written notification of non-compliance under paragraph 1 of section 152 of the LTCHA.</p>	<p>Le non-respect des exigences de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée (LFSLD) a été constaté. (Une exigence de la loi comprend les exigences qui font partie des éléments énumérés dans la définition de « exigence prévue par la présente loi », au paragraphe 2(1) de la LFSLD.</p> <p>Ce qui suit constitue un avis écrit de non-respect aux termes du paragraphe 1 de l'article 152 de la LFSLD.</p>

**WN #1: The Licensee has failed to comply with LTCHA, 2007 S.O. 2007, c.8, s. 6. Plan of care
Specifically failed to comply with the following subsections:**

s. 6. (2) The licensee shall ensure that the care set out in the plan of care is based on an assessment of the resident and the needs and preferences of that resident. 2007, c. 8, s. 6 (2).

Findings/Faits saillants :

1. There was no assessment to determine the size, type and application technique for the sling in use on an identified at the time of the incident in which she/he fell from the sling.
There is no process in place at the home to ensure that residents are assessed to ensure that all residents who require mechanical lifts have the best possible sling and lift to ensure highest level of safety for the resident.
The resident was assessed as being physically aggressive during care and transfers. The resident fell out of the THA-6 M sling during a transfer with the mechanical lift resulting in an injury. The sling was applied to the resident in the "amputee" cradle style in which the leg straps were both placed under her/his legs which cradled both of her/his legs. According to the manufacturer instructions this sling is appropriate for residents who weigh between 45 kg to 90 kg. The resident's weight was less than 45kg.
According to the Operating and Product Care Instructions document, the cradle type of application is inappropriate for agitated or spastic users and is more suited to residents with amputations.
According to the manufacturer instructions it is the responsibility of each facility, to establish their own resident handling policies procedures and protocols. (109)

Additional Required Actions:

CO # - 001 will be served on the licensee. Refer to the "Order(s) of the Inspector".

WN #2: The Licensee has failed to comply with O.Reg 79/10, s. 36. Every licensee of a long-term care home shall ensure that staff use safe transferring and positioning devices or techniques when assisting residents. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 36.

Findings/Faits saillants :

1. The licensee failed to use safe transferring and positioning technique when assisting a resident.
An identified requires 2 staff members for repositioning while in bed. The resident was repositioned in bed and changed for incontinence by one staff member instead of two. The resident was found in the morning with a fracture and dislocation of her/his arm and shoulder.

WN #3: The Licensee has failed to comply with O.Reg 79/10, s. 23. Every licensee of a long-term care home shall ensure that staff use all equipment, supplies, devices, assistive aids and positioning aids in the home in accordance with manufacturers' instructions. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 23.

Findings/Faits saillants :

1. The licensee failed to follow manufacturer instruction for the sling in use with the mechanical lift.

A resident fell out of the sling during a transfer. The resident was using and continues to use the THA 6 –M (medium) sling for all transfers. The resident became agitated while suspended in the sling lift and fell out of the side of the sling and sustained an injury which required emergency medical treatment.

The sling was applied to the resident in the "amputee" cradle style in which the leg straps were both placed under the legs which cradled both of the legs.

The resident was agitated during the transfer when she/he tried to hit the staff and fell out of the lift. The staff stated that she/he is frequently physically aggressive with the staff.

The resident weighed less than 45 kg at the time of the incident.

According to the manufacturer instructions this sling is appropriate for residents who weigh between 45 kg to 90 kg.

According to the Operating and Product Care Instructions, the cradle type of application is inappropriate for agitated or spastic users and is more suited to residents with amputations.

Additional Required Actions:

VPC - pursuant to the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007, S.O. 2007, c.8, s.152(2) the licensee is hereby requested to prepare a written plan of correction for achieving compliance to ensure that mechanical lift slings are used in accordance with manufacturers' instructions, to be implemented voluntarily.

Issued on this 30th day of March, 2012

Signature of Inspector(s)/Signature de l'inspecteur ou des inspecteurs





**Ministry of Health and
Long-Term Care**

Order(s) of the Inspector
Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term Care
Homes Act, 2007*, S.O. 2007, c.8

**Ministère de la Santé et
des Soins de longue durée**

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur
Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou
de l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les foyers
de soins de longue durée*, L.O. 2007, chap. 8

Health System Accountability and Performance Division
Performance Improvement and Compliance Branch

Division de la responsabilisation et de la performance du système de santé
Direction de l'amélioration de la performance et de la conformité

Public Copy/Copie du public

Name of Inspector (ID #) / Nom de l'inspecteur (No) :	SUSAN SQUIRES (109)
Inspection No. / No de l'inspection :	2012_077109_0010
Type of Inspection / Genre d'inspection:	Complaint
Date of Inspection / Date de l'inspection :	Mar 7, 12, 20, 21, 29, 2012
Licensee / Titulaire de permis :	VICTORIA VILLAGE INC. 76 ROSS STREET, BARRIE, ON, L4N-1G3
LTC Home / Foyer de SLD :	VICTORIA VILLAGE MANOR 78 ROSS STREET, BARRIE, ON, L4N-1G3
Name of Administrator / Nom de l'administratrice ou de l'administrateur :	OLIVIA SCHMITZ

To VICTORIA VILLAGE INC., you are hereby required to comply with the following order(s) by the date(s) set out below:



**Ministry of Health and
Long-Term Care**

Order(s) of the Inspector
Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term Care
Homes Act, 2007*, S.O. 2007, c.8

**Ministère de la Santé et
des Soins de longue durée**

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur
Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou
de l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les foyers
de soins de longue durée*, L.O. 2007, chap. 8

REVIEW/APEAL INFORMATION

TAKE NOTICE:

The Licensee has the right to request a review by the Director of this (these) Order(s) and to request that the Director stay this (these) Order(s) in accordance with section 163 of the *Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007*.

The request for review by the Director must be made in writing and be served on the Director within 28 days from the day the order was served on the Licensee.

The written request for review must include,

- (a) the portions of the order in respect of which the review is requested;
- (b) any submissions that the Licensee wishes the Director to consider; and
- (c) an address for services for the Licensee.

The written request for review must be served personally, by registered mail or by fax upon:

Director
c/o Appeals Coordinator
Performance Improvement and Compliance Branch
Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care
55 St. Clair Avenue West
Suite 800, 8th Floor
Toronto, ON M4V 2Y2
Fax: 416-327-7603

When service is made by registered mail, it is deemed to be made on the fifth day after the day of mailing and when service is made by fax, it is deemed to be made on the first business day after the day the fax is sent. If the Licensee is not served with written notice of the Director's decision within 28 days of receipt of the Licensee's request for review, this(these) Order(s) is(are) deemed to be confirmed by the Director and the Licensee is deemed to have been served with a copy of that decision on the expiry of the 28 day period.

The Licensee has the right to appeal the Director's decision on a request for review of an Inspector's Order(s) to the Health Services Appeal and Review Board (HSARB) in accordance with section 164 of the *Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007*. The HSARB is an independent tribunal not connected with the Ministry. They are established by legislation to review matters concerning health care services. If the Licensee decides to request a hearing, the Licensee must, within 28 days of being served with the notice of the Director's decision, give a written notice of appeal to both:

Health Services Appeal and Review Board and the

Director

Attention Registrar
151 Bloor Street West
9th Floor
Toronto, ON M5S 2T5

Director
c/o Appeals Coordinator
Performance Improvement and Compliance Branch
Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care
55 St. Clair Avenue West
Suite 800, 8th Floor
Toronto, ON M4V 2Y2
Fax: 416-327-7603

Upon receipt, the HSARB will acknowledge your notice of appeal and will provide instructions regarding the appeal process. The Licensee may learn more about the HSARB on the website www.hsarb.on.ca.



Ministry of Health and
Long-Term Care

Ministère de la Santé et
des Soins de longue durée

Order(s) of the Inspector
Pursuant to section 153 and/or
section 154 of the *Long-Term Care
Homes Act, 2007, S.O. 2007, c.8*

Ordre(s) de l'inspecteur
Aux termes de l'article 153 et/ou
de l'article 154 de la *Loi de 2007 sur les foyers
de soins de longue durée, L.O. 2007, chap. 8*

RENSEIGNEMENTS SUR LE RÉEXAMEN/L'APPEL

PRENDRE AVIS

En vertu de l'article 163 de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée, le titulaire de permis peut demander au directeur de réexaminer l'ordre ou les ordres qu'il a donné et d'en suspendre l'exécution.

La demande de réexamen doit être présentée par écrit et est signifiée au directeur dans les 28 jours qui suivent la signification de l'ordre au titulaire de permis.

La demande de réexamen doit contenir ce qui suit :

- a) les parties de l'ordre qui font l'objet de la demande de réexamen;
- b) les observations que le titulaire de permis souhaite que le directeur examine;
- c) l'adresse du titulaire de permis aux fins de signification.

La demande écrite est signifiée en personne ou envoyée par courrier recommandé ou par télécopieur au :

Directeur
a/s Coordinateur des appels
Direction de l'amélioration de la performance et de la conformité
Ministère de la Santé et des Soins de longue durée
55, avenue St. Clair Ouest
8^e étage, bureau 800
Toronto (Ontario) M4V 2Y2
Télécopieur : 416-327-7603

Les demandes envoyées par courrier recommandé sont réputées avoir été signifiées le cinquième jour suivant l'envoi et, en cas de transmission par télécopieur, la signification est réputée faite le jour ouvrable suivant l'envoi. Si le titulaire de permis ne reçoit pas d'avis écrit de la décision du directeur dans les 28 jours suivant la signification de la demande de réexamen, l'ordre ou les ordres sont réputés confirmés par le directeur. Dans ce cas, le titulaire de permis est réputé avoir reçu une copie de la décision avant l'expiration du délai de 28 jours.

En vertu de l'article 164 de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée, le titulaire de permis a le droit d'interjeter appel, auprès de la Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé, de la décision rendue par le directeur au sujet d'une demande de réexamen d'un ordre ou d'ordres donnés par un inspecteur. La Commission est un tribunal indépendant du ministère. Il a été établi en vertu de la loi et il a pour mandat de trancher des litiges concernant les services de santé. Le titulaire de permis qui décide de demander une audience doit, dans les 28 jours qui suivent celui où lui a été signifié l'avis de décision du directeur, faire parvenir un avis d'appel écrit aux deux endroits suivants :

À l'attention du registraire
Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé
151, rue Bloor Ouest, 9^e étage
Toronto (Ontario) M5S 2T5

Directeur
a/s Coordinateur des appels
Direction de l'amélioration de la performance et de la conformité
Ministère de la Santé et des Soins de longue durée
55, avenue St. Clair Ouest
8^e étage, bureau 800
Toronto (Ontario) M4V 2Y2
Télécopieur : 416-327-7603

La Commission accusera réception des avis d'appel et transmettra des instructions sur la façon de procéder pour interjeter appel. Les titulaires de permis peuvent se renseigner sur la Commission d'appel et de révision des services de santé en consultant son site Web, au www.hsarb.on.ca.

Issued on this 29th day of March, 2012

Signature of Inspector /
Signature de l'inspecteur :

Name of Inspector /
Nom de l'inspecteur :

SUSAN SQUIRES

Service Area Office /

Bureau régional de services : Toronto Service Area Office