

Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care

Inspection Report under the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007 Ministére de la Santé et des Soins de longue durée

Rapport d'inspection prévue le Loi de 2007 les foyers de soins de longue

Health System Accountability and Performance
Division
Performance Improvement and Compliance Branch
Division de la responsabilisation et de la
performance du système de santé
Direction de l'amélioration de la performance et de la
conformité

Hamilton Service Area Office 119 King Street West, 11th Floor HAMILTON, ON, L8P-4Y7 Telephone: (905) 546-8294 Facsimile: (905) 546-8255 Bureau régional de services de Hamilton 119, rue King Ouest, 11iém étage HAMILTON, ON, L8P-4Y7 Téléphone: (905) 546-8294 Télécopieur: (905) 546-8255

Public Copy/Copie du public

Date(s) of inspection/Date(s) de l'inspection	Inspection No/ No de l'inspection	Type of Inspection/Genre d'inspection	
Oct 4, 5, 12, Dec 21, 22, 2011	2011_070141_0033	Critical Incident	ı
Licensee/Titulaire de permis			
OAKWOOD RETIREMENT COMMUN 325 Max Becker Drive, Suite 201, KITO	CHENER, ON, N2E-4H5		
Long-Term Care Home/Foyer de soi	ns de longue durée		.*
THE VILLAGE OF SANDALWOOD PA 425 Great Lakes Drive, BRAMPTON, (
Name of Inspector(s)/Nom de l'inspe	ecteur ou des inspecteurs		
SHARLEE MCNALLY (141)		•	
In	spection Summary/Résumé de l'inspe	ection	

The purpose of this inspection was to conduct a Critical Incident inspection.

During the course of the inspection, the inspector(s) spoke with the Administrator, Director of Care, registered staff, Personal Support Workers (PSWs)

During the course of the inspection, the inspector(s) reviewed residents records, observed the resident, reviewed the home's policy and procedure for Skin Program, Pain Management and Incident Reports.

H-000829-11

The following Inspection Protocols were used during this inspection: Falls Prevention

Pain

Findings of Non-Compliance were found during this inspection.

NON-COMPLIANCE / NON-RESPECT DES EXIGENCES



Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care

Inspection Report under the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007 Ministère de la Santé et des Soins de longue durée

Rapport d'inspection prévue le Loi de 2007 les foyers de soins de longue

Legend	Legendé
WN – Written Notification VPC – Voluntary Plan of Correction DR – Director Referral CO – Compliance Order WAO – Work and Activity Order	WN – Avis écrit VPC – Plan de redressement volontaire DR – Aiguillage au directeur CO – Ordre de conformité WAO – Ordres : travaux et activités
Homes Act, 2007 (LTCHA) was found. (A requirement under the LTCHA includes the requirements contained in the items listed in	Le non-respect des exigences de la Loi de 2007 sur les foyers de soins de longue durée (LFSLD) a été constaté. (Une exigence de la loi comprend les exigences qui font partie des éléments énumérés dans la définition de « exigence prévue par la présente loi », au paragraphe 2(1) de la LFSLD.
The following constitutes written notification of non-compliance under paragraph 1 of section 152 of the LTCHA.	Ce qui suit constitue un avis écrit de non-respect aux termes du paragraphe 1 de l'article 152 de la LFSLD.

WN #1: The Licensee has failed to comply with LTCHA, 2007 S.O. 2007, c.8, s. 6. Plan of care Specifically failed to comply with the following subsections:

- s. 6. (10) The licensee shall ensure that the resident is reassessed and the plan of care reviewed and revised at least every six months and at any other time when,
- (a) a goal in the plan is met;
- (b) the resident's care needs change or care set out in the plan is no longer necessary; or
- (c) care set out in the plan has not been effective. 2007, c. 8, s. 6 (10).

Findings/Faits saillants:

1. An identified resident was not reassessed and their plan of care reviewed and revised at a time when their care needs changed.

The resident was observed to have an injury in 2011. The progress notes on this date stated that the physician was informed and the Daily Unit Report stated the physician examined the injury. There was no documentation to indicate that an assessment was completed at the time of the injury by either by the registered nursing staff or the physician to identify exact location of the injury, possible cause of the injury, change in the resident's status, and actions taken. There was no documentation of an assessment until 7 days after the injury was identified. The resident's plan of care was not revised related to change in care needs until 12 days after the injury was identified. s.6.(10)(b)

WN #2: The Licensee has failed to comply with O.Reg 79/10, s. 8. Policies, etc., to be followed, and records Specifically failed to comply with the following subsections:

- s. 8. (1) Where the Act or this Regulation requires the licensee of a long-term care home to have, institute or otherwise put in place any plan, policy, protocol, procedure, strategy or system, the licensee is required to ensure that the plan, policy, protocol, procedure, strategy or system,
- (a) is in compliance with and is implemented in accordance with applicable requirements under the Act; and (b) is complied with. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 8 (1).

Findings/Faits saillants:

1. The licensee did not ensure that polices and procedures were complied with for an identified resident. The resident was identified with an injury in 2011. The home's policy "Incident Report" states that the Power of Attorney (POA) will be notified of any injury - obvious or possible. The resident's POA was not notified for 13 days after the injury. s.8.(1)



Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care

Inspection Report under the Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007 Ministère de la Santé et des Soins de longue durée

Rapport d'inspection prévue le Loi de 2007 les foyers de soins de longue

WN #3: The Licensee has failed to comply with O.Reg 79/10, s. 52. Pain management Specifically failed to comply with the following subsections:

s. 52. (2) Every licensee of a long-term care home shall ensure that when a resident's pain is not relieved by initial interventions, the resident is assessed using a clinically appropriate assessment instrument specifically designed for this purpose. O. Reg. 79/10, s. 52 (2).

Findings/Faits saillants:

1. An identified resident did not have an assessment of their pain completed using a clinically appropriate assessment instrument specifically designed for this purpose when the resident's pain was not relieved by initial interventions. The resident was identified with an injury in 2011. The resident was administered pain medication for 4 days post injury. There was no documented assessment of the pain using an appropriate assessment tool. The home's policy "Pain Management" states all residents will have a Pain Assessment completed as required using an appropriate template. The Director of Care confirmed that an assessment should of been completed using an appropriate template identified in the home policy. s.52.(2)

Issued on this 30th day of January, 2012

matara at mopeotor(a)/Signature de l'inspecteur	ou des mapecteurs	
		•	
		• .	
		, may I	